arXiv:1612.02356v1 [math.DS] 7 Dec 2016

SPHERE BRANCHED COVERINGS AND THE GROWTH RATE INEQUALITY

J.IGLESIAS, A.PORTELA, A.ROVELLA AND J.XAVIER

Abstract. We show that the growth inequality rate

$$\limsup \frac{1}{n} \log(\#\operatorname{Fix}(f^n)) \geq \log d$$

holds for branched coverings of degree d of the sphere S^2 having a completely invariant simply connected region R with locally connected boundary, except in some degenerate cases with known couterexamples.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with the following open problem: let $f : S^2 \to S^2$ be a continuous map of degree d, $|d| > 1$, and let $N_n f$ denote the number of fixed points of f^n. When does the growth rate inequality $\limsup \frac{1}{n} \log N_n f \geq \log d$ hold for f? (This is Problem 3 posed in [S]).

It is known that this inequality does not always hold. The simplest example is the map expressed in polar coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^2$ as $(r, \theta) \to (dr, d\theta)$ and extended to the sphere with $\infty \to \infty$. It has degree d and just two periodic points. In [IPRX2] other examples are presented, where the nonwandering set is not reduced to the set of periodic points. On the other hand, the inequality is known to hold if f is a rational map [S2], if f is C^1 and preserves the latitude foliation [PS] and [Mi], if the critical points form a two-periodic cycle [IPRX3], and if all periodic orbits are isolated as invariant sets and f has no sources of degree r, $|r| \geq 1$ [HR]. Whenever the growth rate inequality holds, we say that f *has the rate*.

We will work with branched coverings and make the following assumption: there exists $R \subset S^2$ connected, open, proper (i.e. a *region*), simply connected and completely invariant ($f^{-1}(R) = R$). This allows the localization of the set where the periodic points live: the boundary of R. These two assumptions (branched covering + completely invariant simply connected region) are strong assumptions, but there will be more, as all known examples of maps not having the rate satisfy these two assumptions. These examples also satisfy that there are exactly two fixed critical points of multiplicity $d - 1$, one in R and the other one in the boundary of R. It follows that f can be thouhgt as a covering map of the open annulus $\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\}$. Related work in the annulus was developed in the sequel [IPRX1], [IPRX2], [IPRX3], where various sets of sufficient conditions for a map to have the rate are given.

A *branched covering* of the sphere is a covering map of the sphere except at a finite number of critical points were it is locally conjugate to $z \to z^k$ in the open disc, for some integer $k \geq 2$ depending on the critical point. The *multiplicity* of the critical point is $k-1$ and it is well known (Riemann-Hurwitz formula) that the sum of the multiplicities of critical points is equal to $2d - 2$, where d is the degree of f.

Furthermore, if a region R is completely invariant and simply connected, then it contains exactly $d-1$ critical points (counted with multiplicities).

As pointed out before, having exactly one fixed critical point of multiplicity $d-1$ in the boundary of R is an obstruction to having the rate. In the case that the boundary of R is locally connected, we show that this is the only one:

Theorem A. *Let f be a degree d branched covering of the sphere, where $|d| > 1$. Assume that there exists a completely invariant simply connected region R whose boundary component is locally connected. Assume moreover that it is not the case that there exists only one critical point in the boundary of R that has multiplicity $d-1$ and is fixed by f. Then f has the rate.*

We do not know if the local connectivity hypothesis is necessary (but suspect it is not). A main ingredient in the proof of Theorem A is Theorem 1, that states that f extends continuously to the prime end closure of R. Now, this extension gives a circle map of degree d which, of course, has the rate. Now, using that the boundary of R is locally connected, to each periodic point in this circle at infinity, corresponds a periodic point of f in the boundary of R. However, this correspondece is not injective, so in order to get the rate one has to understand how many different rays can land at the same point.

An example to have in mind is when f is a complex polynomial with connected and locally connected Julia set. Then f has a supperatracting fixed point at infinity and the region R is its basin of attraction, which is the complement of the filled Julia set. Figure 1 shows different periodic rays landing at the same point, namely the periodic orbit $1/7 \to 2/7 \to 4/7$ is reduced to a point in the boundary of R. More figures illustrating this phenomenon can be found in Chapter 18 in [M] .



FIGURE 1. Julia set for $f(z) = z^2 - 0.110 + 0.6557i$

So, in particular, Theorem A is a topological version of the fact that complex polynomials (with connected and locally connected Julia set) have the rate. Following the polynomial analogy, the opposite situation corresponds to the case when all critical points belong to the complement of the filled Julia set. We also give a topological version of the fact that such maps have the rate:

Theorem B. *Let f be a degree d branched covering of the sphere, where $|d| > 1$. Assume that there exists a simply connected open set U whose closure is disjoint from the set of critical values and such that $\overline{f^{-1}(U)} \subset U$. Then f has the rate.*

2. Proof of the Theorems.

In this section we prove a theorem extending Caratheodory's theory. This result will be used to establish a relation between the periodic points of f and those of m_d, the map defined in the unit circle as $m_d(z) = z^d$.

2.1. **Maps induced in the circle.** In this section no dynamics are involved. It contains just a generalization of a well known theorem of extension of a homeomorphism of a simply connected plane region R to its prime ends closure : Caratheodory's Theorem. There are no assumptions on the structure of the boundary of R. We will show that a branched covering also extends to the prime ends closure of R. We recall some definitions first. A crosscut in R is a simpe arc whose interior is contained in R and whose extreme points belong to K, the boundary of R. Fix a point 0 in R. Given a crosscut c define $N(c)$ as the component of $R \setminus c$ not containing 0. A sequence of crosscuts $\{c_n\}$ is admissible if their lenghts tends to zero and $N(c_{n+1}) \subset N(c_n)$. Two sequences $\{c_n\}$ and $\{c'_n\}$ of admissible crosscuts are equivalent if for every m there exist n and n' such that $N(c'_m) \subset N(c_n)$ and $N(c_m) \subset N(c'_{n'})$. An equivalence class of admissible crosscuts define a prime end. This construction has the following property proved by Carathéodory: Let $\tilde{R}$ be the union of R with the set of prime ends. Then there is a topology on $\tilde{R}$ turning $\tilde{R}$ into a topological closed disc whose interior is homeomorphic to R with its plane topology. Moreover, if f is a homeomorphism of the closure of R in the plane, then f has a continuous extension to $\tilde{R}$. The following is an extension of this result.

Theorem 1. *Let f be a degree d branched covering of the sphere, and R a simply connected completely invariant region whose complement contains more than one point. Then f extends to a continuous map $\tilde{f}$ in the prime ends closure of R. Moreover $\tilde{f}$ restricted to the boundary of $\tilde{R}$ is a degree d covering map.*

Proof. As the complement of R has more than one point and is connected, we can assume that R is a bounded region of the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$. By the assumption that f is a degree d branched covering of R, it follows that f has $d-1$ critical points (counted with multiplicities) in R (Riemann-Hurwitz formula). Take γ a simple closed curve in R such that all critical values lie in D, the bounded component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \gamma$. Then, $f^{-1}(\gamma)$ is a simple closed curve, and $f|_A : A \to f(A)$ is a degree d covering map, where A is the annulus bounded by ∂R and $f^{-1}(\gamma)$. Let p denote a prime end. It is claimed first that there exists a sequence $\{c_n\}$ of crosscuts defining p such that f restricted to c_n is injective for each n. First note that the crosscuts can be constructed in such a way that both extreme points have different images, as the critical points in the boundary of R are also branched points. Let $\delta > 0$ be the

diameter of D. If each c_n is small enough then $f(c_n)$ does not intersect D and has diameter less than δ (use here that f extends continuously to the closure of R). Let x and y be different points in c_n such that $f(x) = f(y)$. Then the image under f of the segment α in c_n joining x and y cannot be homotopically trivial in $f(A)$. But this is absurd by the choice of δ and the crosscuts c_n. This proves the claim. The claim implies immediately that $\{f(c_n)\}$ is a sequence of crosscuts, and thus defines a prime end $\tilde{f}(p)$. Continuity is obvious by the definition of the topology of $\tilde{R}$ and the continuity of f in the closure of R, so it remains to prove the last assertion. Given a prime end p defined by a sequence of crooscuts $\{c_n\}$, let β be a simple arc in R joining γ with a point in the boundary of R and such that $\beta \cap c_n = \emptyset$ for all n. Then the preimage of β under f is the union of d simple arcs each one of which joins $f^{-1}(\gamma)$ with a point in the boundary of R and whose interiors are pairwise disjoint (recall that $f|_A : A \to f(A)$ is a covering). Then $R \setminus f^{-1}(\beta)$ has exactly d connected components, restricted to each of which the map f is injective and onto $R \setminus \beta$. It follows that each c_n has an f-preimage in each of these components, so $\tilde{f}^{-1}(p)$ contains exactly d points. □

Note that no condition was imposed on the boundary of R. Note also that f may have critical points in the boundary of R, but in any case it is part of the assertion of the theorem that the restriction of $\tilde{f}$ to the boundary of $\tilde{R}$ (that is homeomorphic to the circle) has no critical points. This is illustrated in the following example.

Example. The complex polynomial $f(z) = z^2 - 2$ satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem above. The region R is the complement of the interval $[-2, 2]$ in the real axis. The critical point 0 belongs to the boundary of R. However, each point in the open interval represent two different prime ends while the extreme points 2 and -2 represent only one prime end. The two prime ends whose impression is the critical point 0 have the same image under the map $\tilde{f}$. This is the reason why $\tilde{f}$ has no critical points.

2.2. **Existence of periodic rays.** Beginning with the proof of Theorem A, let f be a degree d branched covering of the sphere and let R be a completely invariant simply connected region. By assumption, the boundary of R is not a unique point, so Theorem 1 holds for f. There is no loss of generality in assuming that there is a unique critical point in R, say ∞, with multiplicity $d - 1$. Indeed, as in the proof of Theorem 1, f is a covering map of degree d from a semi-closed annulus which is a neighbourhood of ∂R onto its image, and we may collapse the boundary of this annulus to a point, which will be critical. As the periodic points will be found on ∂R, where the dynamics of f remains unchanged, the proof under this assumption will suffice.

A ray in R is a simple arc joining ∞ with a point in K, the boundary of R. For each prime end one can choose a ray intersecting every crosscut defining the prime end. As we are assuming that K is locally connected, each prime end defines a point in K (see, for example, Chapter 17 in [M]). Moreover, if the prime end p is $\tilde{f}^k$- fixed, so is the landing point for f^k. Indeed, in this case, if c_n is a sequence of crosscuts defining p, then $f^k(c_n)$ is a sequence of crosscuts defining the same prime end as c_n (see subsection 2.1).

By Lemma 1 in [IPRX1], $\tilde{f}|_{S^1}$ is semiconjugate to $m_d(z) = z^d$ acting on S^1, this means that there exists a continuous degree one map $h : S^1 \to S^1$ such that

$h\tilde{f} = m_d h$. Moreover it is shown that h is monotonically increasing, meaning that if $\pi : \mathbb{R} \to S^1$ is the universal covering of the circle, then any lift H of h is monotonically increasing. Of course h may have intervals where it is constant, but the fact that it has degree one implies the following: if x and y are different points with the same $\tilde{f}$-image, then $h(x) \neq h(y)$ (see item (2) after Definition 2 in the above cited reference).

It is easy to find right inverses of h ($h\phi_0 = id$). Of course none of them will be continuous, unless the semiconjugacy h is actually a conjugacy. Choose a right inverse ϕ_0 of h such that $\phi_0 m_d = \tilde{f}\phi_0$ and ϕ_0 is monotonically increasing.

Now the assumption that K is locally connected will be used to define a map $I : \partial\tilde{R} \to K$ where $I(p)$ is the impression of p, a unique point in K. Note that I is continuous, surjective and $fI = I\tilde{f}$. The map I is not injective since different prime ends may have the same impression in K.

Of course, if two rays r_1 and r_2 representing different prime ends x_1 and x_2, land at the same point $y \in K$, then this point separates K. Moreover, the union of these rays with y separates the whole sphere, and I sends each component of $S^1 \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$ into the closure of a component of $K \setminus \{y\}$. It may happen as well, that some point in the interior of an arc from x_1 to x_2 also has its impression at the point y (see Figure 1).



FIGURE 2.

We turn now into the ideas for the proof of Theorem A. Note that $\tilde{f}$, being a degree d covering map of the circle, has at least $d^n - 1$ points with period that divides n, or which is the same, $\tilde{f}^n$ has at least $d^n - 1$ fixed points: this is obvious for m_d and follows for $\tilde{f}$ because of the semiconjugacy. As was explained earlier, to each of the fixed points of $\tilde{f}^n$ corresponds (taking impressions) a fixed point of f^n. However, as many different points may have the same impression, in order to have

the rate one has to control this possibility. Counting will be easier to perform with the map m_d instead of $\tilde{f}$, so define the map $\phi = I\phi_0$, that satisfies $\phi m_d = f\phi$, and so it carries periodic points of m_d into periodic points of f.

Definition 1. *Two m_d-periodic points x and y in S^1 are said equivalent if $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$. This will be denoted as $x \sim y$.*

Of course this is an equivalence relation. Note that $w_1 \neq w_2$ and $\phi(w_1) = \phi(w_2)$, then $K \backslash \{\phi(w_1)\}$ is disconnected.

Lemma 1. *Let x and y be different points in the boundary of a component of the complement of the closure of R such that $f(x) = f(y)$. Then there exist points x' and y' in S^1 having the same image under m_d, such that $x' \in h(I^{-1}(x))$, $y' \in h(I^{-1}(y))$ and the following property holds:*
$\phi(w_1) = \phi(w_2)$ implies that either w_1 and w_2 belong to the same component of $S^1 \setminus \{x', y'\}$, or $\phi(w_1) = \phi(w_2) = \phi(x')$ or $\phi(w_1) = \phi(w_2) = \phi(y')$.

Proof. Let r be a ray landing at $z = f(x)$ and r_x, r_y rays landing at x and y respectively such that $f(r_x) = f(r_y) = r$. Note that r_x and r_y are different as points in $\partial\tilde{R}$, and will be denoted by x_0 and y_0 as points in S^1. Note that $\tilde{f}(x_0) = \tilde{f}(y_0)$, which implies, as was explained above, that $h(x_0) \neq h(y_0)$. Let $x' = h(x_0)$ and $y' = h(y_0)$.

The assumptions on x and y imply that there exists a simple arc s joining x and y in the sphere with the property that the interior of s does not intersect the closure of R. It follows that $S^2 \backslash \{s \cup r_x \cup r_y\}$ has exactly two connected components, and that in both components there are points of K. Note that as h and ϕ_0 are monotonic, if w_1 and w_2 are points in different components of $S^1 \setminus \{x', y'\}$, then $\phi_0(w_1)$ and $\phi_0(w_2)$ belong to different components of $S^1 \setminus \{x_0, y_0\}$. For $i = 1, 2$, let r_i be rays corresponding to $\phi_0(w_i)$ not intersecting r_x nor r_y within R. The interior of the rays r_1 and r_2 must belong belong to different components of $S^2 \backslash (s \cup r_x \cup r_y)$. As $\phi(w_1) = \phi(w_2)$ implies that r_1 and r_2 land at the same point in K, this point is necessarily x or y. □

3. Proof of Theorem A.

The fundamental idea is the following: the map m_d acting on S^1 has the rate: indeed, m_d^n has $d^n - 1$ fixed points. Moreover, the image under ϕ of a m_d-periodic point is f-periodic. The lemma proved above shows that the points x' and y' obtained separate the circle in such a way that (almost always) a point in one component cannot be identified by ϕ with a point in another component. As x' and y' have the same image under m_d, we will construct in an abstract setting maximal sets of points separating the circle in pieces such that points in different pieces cannot be identified. The next subsection is devoted to this.

3.1. **Stars.** The procedure begins with some abstract definitions and properties; in the next subsection the construction is realized for the map f. Throughout the following, the circle is considered with the distance *dist*: arc lenght divided by 2π. So the circle has length equal to 1. Then two different points having the same image under m_d are at a distance j/d for some integer $0 < j < d$.

Definition 2. *Let d be an integer greater than one. A d-star (or simply a star when no confusion can arise) is a subset E of S^1 containing at least two points and such that the distance between any two points in E is equal to j/d for some integer j, $1 \leq j \leq d-1$. The multiplicity of a star is $m(E) = n - 1 > 0$ if E has n points. Two stars E_1 and E_2 are disjoint if E_2 is contained in the closure of a component of the complement of E_1 (which obviously implies that E_1 is as well contained in the closure of a component of the complement of E_2). In other words, E_1 and E_2 are disjoint if at most one component of $S^1 \setminus E_1$ intersects E_2. A cycle of stars is a sequence of pairwise disjoint stars $\{E_1, \ldots, E_k\}$ such that there exists points $x_i : 1 \leq i \leq k$ and $x_i \in E_i \cap E_{i+1}$ for $i < k$ and $x_k \in E_k \cap E_1$. A set $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, \ldots, E_k\}$ is a maximal set of d-stars if every E_i is a d-star, the E_i are pairwise disjoint, there are no cycles in $\mathcal{E}$ and it is maximal respect to these properties.*

For example, if $d = 4$, $E_1 = \{1, i\}, E_2 = \{i, -1\}, E_3 = \{-1, -i\}, E_4 = \{1, -1\}, E_5 = \{i, 1\}$, then $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ is a maximal set of stars, $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_5\}$ is a cycle of stars and $\{E_3, E_4\}$ is a set of disjoint stars which is not maximal (Figure 1, (a),(b) and (c) respectively). We have also drawn a maximal set of stars as well as a cycle of stars for $d = 6$ in Figure 2.



FIGURE 3. Some stars for $d = 4$



FIGURE 4. Some stars for $d = 6$

In our context each critical point will give rise to a star and the set of stars so defined will be maximal.

3.2. **Properties of stars.** The main result of this subsection is:

Proposition 1. *Assume that $\mathcal{E} = \{E_j\}_{j=1}^k$ is a set of disjoint d-stars with no cycles.*
Then $\mathcal{E}$ is maximal if and only if the sum of the multiplicities of the E_i is equal to $d-1$.

Proof. The proof will be done by induction on d. The case $d = 2$ is trivial. Also the case where there is only one star in the set. To reduce d and use the induction hypothesis we will use the following. Assume that $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, \dots, E_k\}$ is a set of pairwise disjoint d-stars without cycles. Let x_1 and x_2 be consecutive points in E_1, meaning that there is an arc s from x_1 to x_2 which does not intersect $E_1 \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$. A circle $\tilde{s}$ is obtained from s when the points x_1 and x_2 are identified. As in the definition of stars the circle has length equal to one, then the quotient $\tilde{s}$ has to be rescaled with the constant ℓ/d, (where $\ell/d = dist(x_1, x_2)$) to obtain a circle with length equal to 1. So a d-star E in $\mathcal{E}$ contained in S^1 can be also considered as a ℓ-star E' contained in $\tilde{s}$. Having these considerations in mind define $\mathcal{E}'$ as the set of E'_i such that E_i belongs to $\mathcal{E}$ and is contained in the arc s. Then $\mathcal{E}'$ is a set of disjoint ℓ-stars without cycles.

Lemma 2. *If $\mathcal{E}$ is maximal then $\mathcal{E}'$ is a maximal.*

Proof. To prove maximality of $\mathcal{E}'$, define for $i = 1, 2$ numbers δ_i, to be the distance between x_i and the union of $E'_1 \cup \cdots \cup E'_r$. Note that the sum $\delta_1 + \delta_2$ is less than or equal to $1/d$, otherwise the set $\mathcal{E}$ was not maximal: indeed, if that sum is greater than $1/d$ then one can add a new star (having two points) to $\mathcal{E}$ to obtain a new set of disjoint d-stars without cycles and this contradicts the maximality of $\mathcal{E}$; and if it is equal to $1/d$ then one can add a disjoint new disjoint star in $\mathcal{E}'$, but losing the no cycles property. Therefore, the set $\mathcal{E}'$ in $\tilde{s}$ is maximal. □

Following with the proof of the Proposition, note that the same can be done in each connected component of $S^1 \setminus E_1$, where $E_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is cyclically ordered. The arc s_i is (x_i, x_{i+1}) (i is taken to range over $1, \dots, k$ where $x_{k+1} = x_1$). Each arc induces a circle $\tilde{s}_i$ and the set of stars in $\mathcal{E}$ that are contained in s_i is denoted $\mathcal{E}'_i$. By the lemma each $\mathcal{E}'_i$ is maximal if $\mathcal{E}$ is maximal. The converse also holds, and is trivial since the stars are disjoint.

Assume the assertion of the proposition true for every $\ell < d$, this means that each $\tilde{E}'_i$ is maximal if and only if the sum of its multiplicities is equal to $d.dist(x_i, x_{i+1}) - 1$. Next note that the multiplicity of E_1 is $k-1$, so every $\mathcal{E}'_i$ is maximal if and only the sum of the multiplicities of all the stars is equal to

$$(k-1) + \sum_1^k (d.dist(x_i, x_{i+1}) - 1) = (k-1) + (d-k),$$

since the sum of the the distances $dist(x_i, x_{i+1})$ equals to one. □

3.3. **Construction of stars.** Let c be a critical point of multiplicity j contained in K; take a ray r landing at $f(c)$ and note that there are exactly $j+1$ rays preimage of r landing at c. The images under h of the prime ends corresponding to these $j+1$ rays is a d-star.
Let R_1 be a component of the complement of the closure of R containing k critical points counted with multiplicities. Choose any point z that is neither periodic nor a critical value, in the boundary of $f(R_1)$ and take a ray r in R landing at z. Then $f^{-1}(r)$ contains $k+1$ rays landing at different points of the boundary of R_1,

denoted $r_1, \ldots, r_{k+1}$. Let $E_1 = \{h(r_1), \ldots, h(r_{k+1})\}$ (here we are identifying rays and their corresponding prime ends). As $\tilde{f}(r_i) = \tilde{f}(r_j)$ it follows that $h(r_i) \neq h(r_j)$ whenever $j \neq i$ (see item (2) after Definition 2 in [IPRX1]) . Then E_1 has $k+1$ different points, and all of them have the same image under m_d: it follows that E_1 is a d- star of multiplicity k.

So, with this proceeding, we obtain a star for each critical point in K and for each component of the complement of $\overline{R}$ containing critical points.

Lemma 3. *The union of the stars constructed above is a maximal set.*

Proof. Recall that there are exactly $d-1$ critical points in the complement of R counted with multiplicities. It follows that the sum of the multiplicities of the stars constructed is equal to $d-1$, so in view of Proposition 1 it suffices to show that the stars are disjoint and have no cycles.

That the stars are disjoint follows by construction and because h is monotonic.

Assume by contradiction that there exists a cycle $E_1, \ldots E_k$. Taking a minimal cycle (one that does not properly contain another cycle) it can be assumed that the points x_i $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ giving the cycle are not repeated. It is claimed first that the stars are cyclically ordered. Let E_1 and E_2 have the point x_1 in common. This determines two arcs in S^1: a_1 which contains all the points in E_1, is an arc starting at x_1 not containing any point in E_2 and ending in the last point of E_1, and a_2, which contains all the points in E_2 is an arc starting at x_1 not containing any point in E_1 and ending in the last point of E_2,. These intervals intersect only at x_1 except if E_1, E_2 is already a cycle, in which case the claim is obvious. Assume that the star E_3, having the point x_2 in common with E_2, has a point in a_2. In this case E_3 must be contained in a_2, and so the point $x_3 \neq x_2$ is also contained in a_2. This implies that the subsequent E_j (if any) are all contained in a_2, which forces $x_k \in a_2$, a contradiction since $x_k \in a_1$. This implies that the whole $E_3 \setminus \{x_2\}$ is contained in the complement of the union of a_1 and a_2. By a simple induction argument the claim follows.

The assumption that x_i belongs to E_i and to E_{i+1} implies that there are two different rays giving the same image under h. As we are assuming that there is a cycle, we have two sequences of rays r_i and s_i, $1 \leq i \leq k$, having the following properties:

(1) All the rays r_i and s_i are different.
(2) The rays are in cyclic order: once s_1, r_1 and s_2 are given, they determine an orientation of S^1 such that $s_1 < r_1 < s_2$. With this orientation fixed, the claim above implies that $r_i < s_{i+1} < r_{i+1}$ for every i.
(3) For each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, the image under h of the oriented interval $I_i = (r_i, s_{i+1})$ is a point, since the extreme points have the same image under h and h is monotone. The same assertion holds for the interval $I_k = (r_k, s_1)$.
(4) It comes from the construction of stars that for each value of i, the image under $\tilde{f}$ of r_i and s_i is the same.

(See Figure 5) Now use that $\tilde{f}$ is a covering of S^1, together with properties 2) and 4) to deduce that $\tilde{f}(I) = S^1$, where $I = \cup_{i=1}^{k} I_i$. On the other hand, since by property 3) the image under h of these union is finite, the equality $h\tilde{f}(I) = m_d h(I)$ is contradicted.

□

This maximal set of stars will be denoted $\mathcal{E}$.



FIGURE 5. Proof of Lemma 3

Remark 1. *Let c be a critical point in K with multiplicity $k-1$. Then c separates K (recall that $K = \{c\}$ was implicitly excluded in the hypothesis) and the construction above gives rays $s_1, \dots, s_k$ that land at c and separate the sphere in k components. In general, if c_1 is another critical point in the complement of R (not necessarily in K) with multiplicity $j-1$, then associated to c_1 we have defined several rays $r_1 \dots, r_j$ that separate R into j components. Then there are components W_1 of*

$R\setminus\{s_1,\dots,s_k\}$ and W_2 of $R\setminus\{r_1,\dots,r_j\}$ with disjoint closures. These components correspond to disjoint connected components V_1 and V_2 of different stars.

Take $z\in S^1$ such that z and $m_d^{-1}(z)$ do not intersect stars and such that its forward m_d-orbit is dense in S^1. Let ϵ be a small positive number such that if I is an interval of length ϵ having z as an extreme point then $m_d^{-1}(I)$ does not intersect any star. Let $x=\{x_1,\dots,x_\nu\}$ and $y=\{y_1,\dots,y_\nu\}$ be periodic orbits of period ν. The following lemma will eventually give us the rate.

Lemma 4. *Let ν be prime and larger than any period of a critical point. Assume also that $\frac{1}{d(d^\nu-1)}<d(y_\nu,\mathcal{E})$. If x_1 and y_1 belong to I and $|x_1-y_1|=1/(d^\nu-1)$ then $\phi(x_1)\neq\phi(y_1)$.*

Proof. As $|x_1-y_1|=1/(d^\nu-1)$, there exists an integer ℓ such that:

$$|x_\nu-y_\nu|=\frac{1}{d(d^\nu-1)}+\frac{\ell}{d}.$$

But ℓ cannot be 0 since the x_ν and y_ν are fixed points of m_d^ν and the distance between such points cannot be less than $1/d^\nu-1$. Note also that by the choice of ν, x_ν and y_ν must be separated by a star: otherwise, taking $y'_\nu=y_\nu-1/(d^\nu-1)$ we have that $\{x_\nu,y'_\nu\}$ is a star, disjoint from every other star in $\mathcal{E}$ contradicting maximality.

As $\phi(x_\nu)=\phi(y_\nu)$ and x_ν and y_ν are separated by stars, the unique possibility given by Lemma 1 is that $\phi(x_\nu)=\phi(y_\nu)=c$ for some critical point c as by construction, the landing points of rays defining the stars are not periodic unless they are critical. (The reader should not that the proof stops here if there are no critical points in the boundary of R).

As the period ν was taken large, the critical point c is fixed. Now, the hypothesis on the critical points implies that f must have another critical point c' in R^c. As we have two stars, and the forward orbit of z is dense, we may assume that $\phi(z)$ was taken in the component W_1 and that $f^n(\phi(z))\in W_2$, where W_1 and W_2 are as in Remark 1 (W_1 and W_2 have disjoint closures). If I is small enough, then $m_d^n(I)$ will not intersect any star and therefore $f^n(\phi(I))\subset W_2$.

Now note that $\phi(x_1)$ is fixed by f but this contradicts the fact that $f^n(\phi(I))\subset W_2$.

□

Note that there are approximately ϵd^ν fixed points of m_d^ν in the interval I (where ϵ is the length of I) and these points have the property that no pair of consecutive points are equivalent. There is another restriction:

Lemma 5. *If x,y,z,t are points in S^1 such that $x\sim y$, $z\sim t$ but x and z are not equivalent, then z and t belong to the same component of $S^1\setminus\{x,y\}$.*

Let r_x and r_y be rays landing at the same point p. Then, $r_x\cup r_y\cup p$ separate the plane. Now if z and t belong to different connected components of $S^1\setminus\{x,y\}$ this means, as they are equivalent, that the landing point of r_z and r_t is also p, contradicting that x and z are not equivalent.

Notice that there are $[\epsilon d^\nu]$ fixed points of m_d^ν in the interval I, where $[x]$ is the integer part of x. Let $n=[\epsilon d^\nu]$. The next abstract result implies that there are many different equivalence classes.

Lemma 6. *Let R be an equivalence relation in the set $\{1,\dots,n\}$ such that the following properties hold:*
1. $(i,i+1)\notin R$ for every i, and
2. If L and L' are different classes, then each one of them is contained in a connected component of the complement of the other.
Then the number of classes greater than or equal to $[n/2]+1$.

Proof. Assume that the property holds for every number less than n and let R be an equivalence relation in $\{1,\dots,n\}$. Let C_1 be the class of 1. Denote by $\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_k$ the maximal intervals of the complement of C_1. Note that $k=n_1$ or $k=n_1-1$, where n_1 is the number of elements in C_1. If p_i denotes the cardinal of σ_i, then $n_1+\sum p_i=n$. Say that $i\in E$ if p_i is even and that $i\in O$ if p_i is odd. Let e denote the number of elements in E and o the number of elements in O.
By the hypothesis (2) on the classes, note that the number N_R of equivalence classes of R is at least

$$N_1 = 1+\sum_{i\in E}(1+\frac{p_i}{2})+\sum_{i\in O}(1+\frac{p_i-1}{2}),$$

where the first 1 comes for the class C_1, and the induction hypothesis was used in each σ_i. Rearranging terms it comes that N_R satisfies the thesis of the lemma if and only if

$$2e+o-n_1\geq 2[n/2]-n.$$

Note that $e+o=n_1$ or $e+o=n_1-1$, so $2e+o-n_1$ is either e or $e-1$. So the last equation is valid if $e>0$ or $e+o=n_1$ since the number on the right hand side is equal to 0 or -1. If $e=0$ and $e+o=o=n_1-1$ then $n=\sum_o p_i+n_1$ is an odd number, so both sides are equal to -1. □

Note: For every n there is an equivalence relation R such that the number of classes is equal to $[n/2]+1$: one class is the set of odd numbers, and every even number constitutes a class.

From this last lemma we obtain Theorem A. Indeed, for every prime number ν large enough the number of equivalence classes of fixed points of m_d^ν within the interval I is at least $[\epsilon d^\nu/2]$ and as different equivalence classes correspond to different fixed points of f^ν, then the rate of f is not less than

$$\lim_\nu \frac{1}{\nu}\log[\epsilon d^\nu/2]=\log d.$$

4. Proof of Theorem B

This section is devoted to the proof of :
Theorem B. *Let f be a degree d branched covering of the sphere, where $|d|>1$. Assume that there exists a simply connected open set U whose closure is disjoint from the set of critical values and such that $\overline{f^{-1}(U)}\subset U$. Then f has the rate.*

The proof relies on Brouwer's Theory for orientation preserving homeomorphisms of the plane. We will use the following result:

Theorem 2. [CL] *Let $f:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}^2$ be an orientation preserving homeomorphism and let $K\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ be an f-invariant non-separating continuum. Then, there exists $x\in K$ such that $f(x)=x$.*

An easy proof or Cartwright-Littlewood's theorem can be found in the single-page paper of M.Brown [Brow]. Existence of a fixed point under the hypothesis that a compact subset is preserved was already known (Brouwer's plane translation theorem [Brou]). To prove that the fixed point must belong to the set K one needs connectedness of such a set (just think of a rational rotation, where every periodic orbit is a compact invariant set disjoint from the set of fixed points).

Proof. The hypothesis implies that $\overline{f^{-1}(U)}$ has d connected components denoted $W_1, \dots, W_d$, each W_i closed and contained in U. Besides, $f(W_i) = \overline{U}$ for all i.

We will construct by induction on n a sequence of d^n sets W_a^n, indexed with a, sequences of n elements between 1 and d, where $W_i^1 = W_i$.

Given $a \in \{1, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ let $a|_n$ be the restriction of a to the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$

The induction hypothesis:
For each $j < n$ and $a \in \{1, \dots, d\}^j$ there exists a set W_a^j satisfying the following properties:

(1) W_a^j is a compact connected subset of $W_{a'}^{j-1}$, where a' is the restriction of a to $\{1, \dots, j-1\}$, i.e, $a' = (a_1, \dots, a_{j-1})$.
(2) $W_{a'}^{j-1} = \cup_{i=1}^n W_{a'i}^j$ where $a'i$ is equal to $(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, i)$.
(3) $f(W_{ia'}^j) = W_{a'}^{j-1}$ where ia' is equal to $(i, a_1, \dots, a_{j-1})$.

Given $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, let $a'' = (a_2, \dots, a_n)$, and define $W_a^n = f^{-1}(W_{a''}^{n-1}) \cap W_{a_1}^1$. As $W_{a'}^{n-1}$ is not empty and contained in U, then it has one f-preimage in each W_i^1. This implies the properties (1) to (3) above for $j = n$.

Then define K_a for $a \in \{1, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ as

$$K_a = \cap_{n \geq 1} W_{a|_n}^n.$$

Note that K_a is a nonempty connected non-separating and compact subset as it is the decreasing intersection of compact connected sets. Moreover, if a is a periodic element of $\{1, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with period k, then K_a is f^k invariant. Moreover, there exists a neighborhood V of K_a homeomorphic to a disc such that $f^j(V) \cap S_f$ is empty for every $j \leq k$, and it follows that f^k restricted to V is a homeomorphism onto its image. One can then extend $f^k|_V$ to a plane homeomorphism and apply Cartwright-Littlewood's Theorem 2 to obtain that f^k has a fixed point in K_a. As the sets K_a are disjoint, and there are d^k different sequences in $\{1, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ having period k, the result follows.

□

References

[Brou] L.E.J. Brouwer. Beweis des ebenen Translationssatzes. Math. Ann. 72,37-54, 1912.

[Brow] M. Brown A short proof of the Cartwright-Littlewood fixed point Theorem. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 65 (1977), 372.

[CL] M. Cartwright, J. Littlewood. Some fixed points theoremsAnn. of Math. 54 (1951), 1-37

[IPRX1] J. Iglesias, A. Portela, A. Rovella. and J. Xavier. Dynamics of covering maps of the annulus I: semiconjugacies. Math. Z. DOI 10.1007/s00209-016-1653-6

[IPRX2] J. Iglesias, A. Portela, A. Rovella. and J. Xavier. Dynamics of annulus maps II: Periodic points for coverings. Online in Fundamenta Math. DOI: 10.4064/fm89-6-2016

[IPRX3] J. Iglesias, A. Portela, A. Rovella. and J. Xavier. Dynamics of annulus maps III: completeness. Nonlinearity 29(9):2641-2656 (2016).

[HR] L. Hernandez-Corbato, F. Ruiz del Portal. Fixed point indices of planar continuous maps Disc. and Cont. Dyn. Sys. 35(7):2979-2995 (2015).

[M] J. Milnor. Dynamics of one complex variable Annals of Mathematical Studies; no. 160.

[Mi] M. J. Misiurewicz. Periodic points of latitudinal sphere maps Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2014) 16: 149.

[S] M. Shub. All, most, some differentiable dynamical systems. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematics, Madrid, Spain, European Math. Society (2006), 99-120.

[S2] M. Shub. Alexander cocycles and dynamics. Asterisque, Societè Math. de France (1978), 395-413.

[PS] C.Pugh, M. Shub. Periodic points on the 2-sphere. Disc. and Cont. Dyn. Sys 34 (2014), 1171–1182.